

GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY LAW GENTER EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Institute For Public Representation

600 New Jersey Avenue, NW, Suite 312 Washington, DC 20001-2075

Telephone: 202-662-9535 TDD: 202-662-9538

Fax: 202-662-9634

RECEIVED

JUN 1 7 2002

PARETAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Hope M. Babcock Associate Director Environmental Law Project Angela J. Campbell Associate Director Citizens Communications Center Project Michael D. Beach Sheila A. Bedi Christopher R. Day Lawrence M. Levine Amy R. Wolverton

Douglas L. Parker Director

Fellows

June 17, 2002

<u>VIA HAND DELIVERY</u>

Marlene Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re:

Ex Parte Presentation

CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200,

95-116, 98-170 and NSD File No. L-00-72

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On June 17, 2002, the undersigned met with Paul Garnett of the Commission's Wireline Competition Bureau to deliver the attached materials, which are being submitted in connection with the Commission's June 21, 2002, meeting regarding possible changes to the universal service contribution methodologies.

Pursuant to the Commission's ex parte rules, an original and 13 copies of this letter and attachment are being filed. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher R. Day

Attachment

cc:

Matthew Brill, Office of Commissioner Abernathy

Paul Garnett, WCB

No. of Copies rec'd List ABODE

THE CASE AGAINST CONNECTION-BASED USF ASSESSMENT

Consumers Union
Texas Office of Public Utility Counsel
Consumer Federation of America
Appalachian People's Action Counsel
Center for Digital Democracy
Edgemont Neighborhood Coalition
Migrant Legal Action Program

Written Presentation for FCC Public Meeting

Panel 1: Contribution Assessment Methodologies

June 21, 2002

A Connection-Based USF Fee Violates Section 254(d) of the 1996 Act

- A Connection-Based Fee Eliminates Contribution Requirements for Many Interexchange Carriers
 - Section 254(d) specifically states that "[e]very telecommunications carrier" providing interstate services shall contribute to the fund.
 - The connection-based proposal, however, would allow a number of interexchange carriers to completely avoid any contribution responsibility, in clear violation of Section 254(d).
- A Connection-Based Fee Is Not "Equitable and Nondiscriminatory"
 - Section 254(d) also requires that any USF assessment be "equitable and nondiscriminatory."
 - A connection-based fee levies the same assessment on both "high-end" and "low-use" customers, and plainly discriminates against low-use and low-income customers.

The CoSus Connection-Based Fee Proposal Will Hurt Low-Income and Low-Use Customers

- The Flat \$1.00 USF Connection Fee Proposed By the Coalition for Sustainable Universal Service ("CoSus") Will Disproportionately Harm Low-Income and Low-Use Consumers
 - The CoSus proposal assumes that carriers would add at least a \$0.10 "administrative fee" in addition to the \$1.00 connection-based USF fee. Under this scenario, low-use customers would pay more in "USF recovery" under the connection-based proposal in all but 2 of the 18 calling plans studied. (See Attachment 1).
 - In addition, it is critical to note that the CoSus plan provides no cap for "excess" USF recovery or "administrative fees" that carriers could levy in addition to the proposed \$1.00 connection-based fee. Accordingly, if carriers levied a \$0.25 "administrative fee" in addition to the \$1.00 connection charge, low-use customers would pay more under the connection-based proposal than the currently do in all but 1 of the 18 calling plans studied. (See Attachment 2). Furthermore, if a \$0.51 "administrative fee" is charged, customers would pay more under the connection-based proposal than they do under any of the calling plans studied. (See Attachment 3).
- Even the CoSus statistics note that low-income consumers will pay more under their connection-based USF proposal than under the current revenue-based system. The CoSus data submitted in initial comments admits that 62% of all households with incomes below \$15,000 a year will pay more under the connection-based proposal, and that 58% of households making between \$15,000 and \$30,000 will pay more. (See CoSus Comments, Attachment 2 at 6, Table 1).



Consumer Friendly USF Reform Proposals

- The Basic Revenue-Based USF Assessment System Should Be Retained.
- Current USF "Safe Harbors," Such as the Wireless "Safe Harbor" Should Be Re-Examined in Light of Changing Market Conditions.
- The Commission Should Study Changes to the Current Assessment System That Would Eliminate the USF Assessment "Lag."
- The Commission Should Prohibit Customer "Pass-Through" of Carrier USF Assessments or, In the Alternative, Limit Carrier Recovery to the Actual Amount of the Applicable USF Assessment Factor to Protect Consumers From Abusive Carrier USF Recovery Practices.

ATTACHMENT 1



CURRENT USF COSTS FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL LONG DISTANCE CUSTOMERS

COMPARED WITH ANNUALIZED COST FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS ASSESSED A CONNECTIVITY FEE Of \$1.10

				Current	Proposed USF	USF Total		Actual		Current		Current		Total		Actual		Annualized		Annualized		
		Advertised		USF%	Connectivity	End	End-User		Per-Minute		USF		USF		End-User		Per-Minute				Increase	
		Per-Minute	Monthly	& \$0.51	Cost Per	Cos	sts For	Rat	e For	Cos	ts For	Cos	ts For	Cos	sts For	Rat	e For	for L	.ow-Use	For A	AveUse	
	Plan	Rate	Fee	LEC	Month	29	Minutes	29	Minutes	29	Minutes	58 N	Minutes	58	Minutes	<u>5</u> 8	Minutes	Cus	omers	Cust	tomers	
	One Rate																					
Ĺ	Plus Plan	0.07	\$3.95	11.50%	\$ 1.10	\$	6.67	\$	0.23	\$	0.69	\$	0.92	\$	8.93	\$	0.15	\$	(1.17)	\$	(3.97)	
	Anytime																				ŀ	
***************************************	Advantage					1																
	Savings		,					ļ													}	
	Option	0.07		9.90%	\$ 1.10	\$_	5.47	\$	0.19	\$	0.49	\$	0.69		7.70	\$	0.13	\$	1.16		(1.25)	
			\$ 5.95			\$	8.77	\$	0.30	\$	0.79	\$	0.99	\$	11.00	\$	0.19	\$	(2.40)	\$	(4.81)	
9	Anytime	0.07	to	9.90%	\$ 1.10	1						ĺ										
			\$0.00			\$	2.23	\$	0.08	\$	0.20	\$	0.40	_		\$	80.0	\$	4.67	\$	2.26	
9	Everdial1	0.049		9.25%		\$	1.55	\$	0.05	\$	0.13	\$	0.26	_	3.10	\$	0.05	\$	5.50	\$	3.93	
4	N/A	0.045	\$0.00	8.50%	\$ 1.10	\$	1.42	\$	0.05	\$	0.11	\$	0.22	\$	2.83	\$	0.05	\$	5. <u>75</u>	\$	4.42	
				:																	:	
	N/A	0.049	\$0.00	6.90%	\$ 1.10	\$	1.52	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.20	\$	3.04	\$	0.05	\$	5.90	\$	4.73	
to a	N/A	0.049	\$0.00	9.25%	\$ 1.10	\$	1.55	\$	0.05	\$	0.13	\$	0.26	\$	3.10	\$	0.05	\$	5.50	\$	3.93	
	N/A	0.054	\$0.00	9.90%	\$ 1.10	\$	1.72	\$	0.06	\$	0.16	\$	0.31	\$	3.44	\$	0.06	\$	5.22	\$	3.36	
			\$ 2.00			\$	3.44	\$	0.12	\$	0.31	\$	0.42	\$	4.68	\$	0.08	\$	3.40	\$	2.07	
<u>e</u>	N/A	0.039	to	9.80%	\$ 1.10]	
1			\$0.00			\$	1.24	\$	0.04	\$	0.11	\$	0.22	\$	2.48	\$	0.04	\$	5.75	\$	4.42	
1			\$ 2.00			\$	3.66	\$	0.13	\$	0.24	\$	0.33	\$	5.18	\$	0.09	\$	4.25	\$	3.07	
Š,	N/A	0.049	to	6.90%	\$ 1.10												;	1			•	
d)/mv			\$0.00			\$	1.52	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.20	\$	3.04	\$	0.05	\$	5.90	\$	4.73	
			\$ 2.00			\$	3.56	\$	0.12	\$	0.25	\$	0.35	\$	4.96	\$	0.09	\$	4.03	\$	2.82	
Ġ:	N/A	0.045	to	7.70%	\$ 1.10	}		l					,	1				1				
			\$0.00			\$	1.41	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.20	\$	2.81	\$	0.05	\$	5.87	\$	4.67	
1			\$ 2.50			\$	4.31	\$	0.15	\$	0.39	\$	0.53	\$	5.87	\$	0.10	\$	^2.42	\$	0.73	
		0.049	i i	9.90%	\$ 1.10					ŀ								1				
<u>C</u>	N/A		\$0.00			\$	1.56	\$	0.05	\$	0.14		0.28	_	3.12		0.05	\$	5.39	\$	3.70	
au	N/A	0.069	\$0.00	12%	\$ 1.10	\$	2.24	\$	0.08	\$	0.24	\$	0.48	\$	4.48	\$	0.08	\$	4.20	\$	1.32	



ATTACHMENT 2

CURRENT USF COSTS FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL LONG DISTANCE CUSTOMERS

COMPARED WITH ANNUALIZED COST FOR LOW-USE ANI) AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS ASSESSED A CONNECTIVITY FEE OF \$1.25

				Current	Proposed USF				Actual				Current		Total		Actual		Annualized		ualized
		Advertised		USF %		End-					USF		End-User							Increase	
		Per-Minute	Monthly	& \$0.51	Cost Per	1		•	Rate For Costs Fo			Costs For		Costs For					for Low-Use		AveUse
		Rate	Fee	LEC	Month	29 N	29 Minutes 2		29 Minutes		29 Minutes		58 Minutes		Minutes	58	Minutes	Customers		Customers	
	One Rate					1.						١.									
	Plus Plan	0.07	\$ 3.95	11.50%	\$ 1.25	\$	6.67	\$	0.23	\$	0.69	\$	0.92	\$	8.93	\$	0.15	\$	0.63	\$	(2.17)
	Anytime				•																İ
	Advantage					Ì		ŀ								Ì					
	Savings					١.	- 1-				0.40	١.					0.40				
	Option	0.07		9.90%	\$ 1.25	1	5.47	\$		\$	0.49	\$	0.69	\$		\$	0.13	\$	2.96	\$	0.55
			\$ 5.95	0.000	A 105	\$	8.77	\$	0.30	\$	0.79	\$	0.99	\$	11.00	\$	0.19	\$	(0.60)	Þ	(3.01)
	Anytime	0.07	to	9.90%	\$ 1.25			۱ 🗼		_		۱.	0.40		4 40						4 00
	=		\$0.00	0.050/	4.05	\$	2.23	\$	0.08	\$	0.20	\$	0.40	\$	4.46	\$	0.08	\$	6.47		4.06
	Everdial1	0.049	\$0.00	9.25%		\$	1.55	\$	0.05	\$	0.13	\$	0.26	\$	3.10	\$	0.05	\$	7.30	\$	5.73
	N/A	0.045	\$0.00	8.50%	\$ 1.25	\$	1.42	\$	0.05	\$	0.11	\$	0.22	\$	2.83	\$	0.05	\$	7.55	Þ	6.22
·														ļ				ŀ			
	N/A	أمده	# 0.00	6.90%	\$ 1.25	s	1.52		0.05	s	0.10	s	0.20	S	3.04	,	0.05	s	7.70	\$	6.53
	N/A N/A	0.049 0.049	\$0.00 \$0.00	9.25%	\$ 1.25 \$ 1.25	\$	1.55	\$ \$	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.26	\$	3.10	\$ \$	0.05	s	7.70	\$	5.73
	N/A	0.049	\$0.00	9.90%		\$	1.72	\$	0.06	\$	0.16	\$	0.26	\$	3.44	\$	0.06	\$		\$	5.16
	N/A	0.054	\$ 2.00	9.90%	1.25	\$	3.44	\$	0.00	\$	0.10	S	0.42	\$	4.68	\$	0.08	\$	5.20	\$	3.87
	N/A	0.039	\$ 2.00 to	9.80%	\$ 1.25	.	3.44	J.	0.12	,	0.51	3	0.42	*	4.00	A D	0.08	*	3.20	4	3.07
·	1370	0.035	\$0.00	9.00 /6	y 1.25	s	1.24	\$	0.04	\$	0.11	 \$	0.22	\$	2.48	\$	0.04	\$	7.55	\$	6.22
			\$ 2.00			\$	3.66	\$	0.13	\$	0.11	\$	0.33	s	5.18	\$	0.09	S	6.05	S	4.87
	N/A	0.049	to	6.90%	\$ 1.25	۳	0.00	•	0.10	•	0.27	*	0.00	"	3.10	"	0.03	*	0.00	•	7.07
		0.040	\$0.00	0.0070	Ψ 1.20	\$	1.52	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.20	\$	3.04	\$	0.05	s	7.70	\$	6.53
			\$ 2.00			\$	3.56	\$	0.12	\$	0.25	\$	0.35	\$	4.96	\$	0.09	Š	5.83	Š	4.62
	N/A	0.045	to	7.70%	\$ 1.25	*	0.00	•	0.12	*	.0.20	*	0.00	*	4.00	*	0.00	*	0.00	•	7.02
	*****	3.545	\$0.00	7.,070	- 1.20	\$	1.41	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.20	s	2.81	\$	0.05	s	7.67	\$	6.47
			\$ 2.50			\$	4.31	\$	0.15	\$	0.39	\$	0.53	\$	5.87	\$	0.10	s	4.22	\$	2.53
. चं		0.049	ψ 2.50 to	9.90%	\$ 1.25	"	7.01	•	0.10	*	5.55	*	0.00	•	0.07	•	0.10	*	7.88	–	-:
الما فر	N/A	0.040	\$0.00	0.0070	Ţ ,	\$	1.56	\$	0.05	s	0.14	s	0.28	s	3.12	\$	0.05	s	7.19	\$	5.50
	N/A	0.069	\$0.00	12%	\$ 1.25	\$	2.24	\$	0.08	\$	0.24	\$	0.48	\$	4.48	\$	0.08	S	6.00	<u>-</u>	3.12
and the second		0.000	40.00		¥	<u></u>		. Y				<u> </u>	J	Ψ.		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			

ATTACHMENT 3

CURRENT USF COSTS FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL LONG DISTANCE CUSTOMERS COMPARED WITH ANNUALIZED COST FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS ASSESSED A CONNECTIVITY FEE OF \$1.51

					Current Proposed USF				Actual		Current		Current				Actual		Annualized		Annualized	
		Advertised		USF %	Conne		1		Per-Minute				USF		End-User		Per-Minute		USF Increase			
		Per-Minute	Monthly	& \$0.51	Cost P	•					1		i		Costs For		Rate For				USF Increase For AveUse	
		Rate	Fee		Month	0 1						29 Minutes		Costs For					for Low-Use es Customers			
	Plan	Hate	ree	LEC	Month		29 1	viinutes	29	viinutes	29 1	vinutes	28 1	vinutes	58	vinutes	58	Minutes	Cus	omers	Cust	omers
24	One Rate			l	_		١.															
	Plus Plan	0.07	\$3.95	11.50%	\$	1.51	\$	6.67	\$	0.23	\$	0.69	\$	0.92	\$	8.93	\$_	0.15	\$	3.75	\$	0.95
	Anytime		ļ																			
	Advantage		f		ļ														ŀ			
64	Savings								l .								ŀ					
0	Option	0.07		9.90%	\$	1.51	\$	5.47	\$	0.19	\$	0.49		0.69	\$	7.70	\$_	0.13	\$	6.08	\$	3.67
			\$ 5.95		l .		\$	8.77	\$	0.30	\$	0.79	\$	0.99	\$	11.00	\$	0.19	\$	2.52	\$	0.11
	Anytime	0.07	ı	9.90%	\$	1.51							Į						1			
			\$0.00				\$	2.23	\$	0.08	\$	0.20		0.40	\$	4.46	\$	0.08	\$	9.59	\$	7.18
	Everdial1	0.049		9.25%		1.51	\$	1.55	\$	0.05	\$	0.13	\$	0.26	\$_	3.10	\$	0.05	\$	10.42	\$	8.85
	N/A	0.045	\$0.00	8.50%	\$	1.51	\$	1.42	\$	0.05	\$	0.11	\$	0.22	\$	2.83	\$	0.05	\$	10.67	\$	9.34
S111	į		'																			
	j	İ											İ									
n.	N/A	0.049	\$0.00	6.90%	\$	1.51	\$	1.52	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.20	\$	3.04	\$	0.05	\$	10.82	\$	9.65
	N/A	0.049	\$0.00	9.25%	\$	1.51	\$	1.55	\$	0.05	\$	0.13	\$	0.26	\$	3.10	\$	0.05	\$	10.42	\$	8.85
<u>c</u>	N/A	0.054	\$0.00	9.90%	\$	1.51	\$	1.72	\$	0.06	\$	0.16	\$	0.31	\$	3.44	\$	0.06	\$	10.14	\$	8.28
			\$ 2.00		-		\$	3.44	\$	0.12	\$	0.31	\$	0.42	\$	4.68	\$	0.08	\$	8.32	\$	6.99
	N/A	0.039	to	9.80%	\$	1.51																
			\$0.00				\$	1.24	\$	0.04	\$	0.11	\$	0.22	\$	2.48	\$	0.04	\$	10.67	\$	9.34
			\$ 2.00				\$	3.66	\$	0.13	\$	0.24	\$	0.33	\$	5.18	\$	0.09	\$	9.17	\$	7.99
)C*	N/A	0.049	to	6.90%	\$	1.51							ļ									
Secretary Control of the Control			\$0.00				\$	1.52	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.20	\$	3.04	\$	0.05	\$	10.82	S	9.65
			\$ 2.00					3.56	\$	0.12	\$	0.25	\$	0.35	\$	4.96	\$	0.09	\$	8.95	S	7.74
	N/A	0.045	-	7.70%	S	1.51			,		•		Ť		•		•		Ť		*	
			\$0.00		Ť		\$	1.41	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	s	0.20	\$	2.81	\$	0.05	\$	10.79	s	9.59
All and the second			\$ 2.50				\$	4.31	\$	0.15	<u> </u>	0.39		0.53	\$	5.87	\$	0.10	\$	7.34	\$	5.65
		0.049		9.90%	\$	1.51	•			0.15	•	J. 55	*	0.00	"	0.07	*	0.10	T		▼	7.00
***	N/A	0.043	\$0.00	3.30 /6	"	1.01	ŧ	1.56	\$	0.05	\$	0.14	s	0.28	\$	3.12	\$	0.05	\$	10.31	s	9 6 2
	N/A	0.069	\$0.00	12%	•	1.51	1	2.24	\$	0.08	\$	0.14	_	0.48	<u> </u>	4.48	\$	0.08	S	9.12	Š	8.62 6.24
104 Augustanian	IY/A	ชอบ.บ	\$0.00	12%	Ψ	1.51	_₽	6.24	Ф	0.00	Þ	U.24	<u> </u>	0.48	4	4.48	D	0.08	-	9.12	•	0.24